2013 Seasonal effects of stubble treatments on canola establishment and grain yield in CWFS districts
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This trial report is an interim report for 2013 only. More detailed reports will be made once grain quality data is available

GRDC project CWF00018 – Maintaining profitable farming systems with retained stubble in Central West, NSW

Rankins Springs trial management and data collection was undertaken by AgGrow Agronomy and Research

Key Points
• During 2013, in canola crops sown on time that achieved target plant populations, it appears that late burning and/or cultivation of previous crop stubbles improved yield.
• The cost of burning stubble needs to be considered both in terms of dollar labour cost and lost nutrients. Potential impact on fallow efficiencies following the canola crop need to be better understood. Burning stubbles may have a fit in paddocks where windrows are being burnt for grass seed control or stubbles are too heavy for seeders to get through.
• The cost of cultivation needs to account for both cost of the operation as well as the risk soil erosion, and the possibility of producing drier seedbed conditions at sowing time.
• CWFS proposes to do further trials in the next two years. Remember this report represents only one year (2013) of data from trials at Rankins Springs, Wirrinya and Tullamore.

Background:
Stubble retention within cropping systems in CWFS districts is a common practice. The 2013 CWFS farmer survey (representing 47 producers managing 207,000 ha) highlighted that 70% of producers regularly maintained stubble cover over summer whilst 20% regularly maintained fallows by cultivation alone. No simple relationship between farm size and stubble management practice could be determined. Canola is the most commonly used annual break crop in the cropping programs in the region.
where wheat is the major crop. It is widely accepted that three concurrent triggers need to be available for profitable canola production in the region namely: profitable market price, good stored sub soil moisture and sowing rain in April. Variable seasons in CWFS districts produce variable stubble loads for the following crops and can influence the establishment of canola. This trial seeks to develop best practice guidelines for canola establishment in the variable stubble loads experienced in the region.

**Trial design**
During 2013 CWFS conducted a trial at 3 locations - Rankins Springs, Wirrinya, and Tullamore.
These aimed to investigate the impact that different stubble treatments imposed towards the end of the fallow have on the establishment and yield of canola.
The trials were 4 ranges and 4 rows, and consisted of 4 replicates. Each replicate was 1 range and 4 rows. There were 4 stubble treatments; standing, burnt, mulched and cultivated imposed on commercial paddocks. Plot size was 10 metres wide by 40 metres long, running between the co-operators tram tracks. The plots were farmer sown as part of their commercial canola planting program. Trial layout is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: 2013 trial plan.](image)

**Rankins Springs**
Co-operator
Micheal Pfitzner, “Hill End”. CWFS contracted Ag Grow Agronomy and Research to manage and harvest this trial.

**Paddock History**
Long term, no till continuous cropping paddock with a general rotation of wheat/barley/legume/wheat/canola. 2012 the paddock was sown with wheat that yielded over 3 t/ha.

**Soil Type**
Red mallee sandy loam

**Stubble treatments imposed**
31 March 2013

**Sowing**
18 April 2013, variety 43C80, Seeding rate 2kg/ha, 50 kg/ha MAP fertiliser with seed into moist seedbed with good subsoil moisture. Sown with a NDF disc seeder with 273 mm row spacing.

**Harvest date**
Harvested as standing crop

**Special notes**
The cultivated treatment was burnt and then cultivated with tynes. 80kg/ha Gran Am and 50kg/ha urea top dressed early June 2013, followed by another 50kg urea in July.

**Tullamore**
Co-operator: Paul Bell “Gwandalan”

**Paddock History**
Recently developed no till cropping paddock previously sown to wheat.

**Soil Type**
Clay loam.

**Stubble treatments imposed**
8 April 2013

**Sowing**
28 May 2013, 80 kg/ha MAP plus 100kg deepbanded urea fertiliser into moist hard seedbed with marginal subsoil moisture. Sown with a tyne seeder at 30 cm row spacing.

**Harvest**
Plots were representatively sampled prior to commercial windrowng. Samples were dried and processed at Condobolin Agricultural Research Station.

**Special notes**
Cultivation treatment imposed with light offset discs

**Wirrinya**
Co-operator: Angus & Kim Maslin, “Bergen Park”

**Paddock History**
No till continuous cropping irrigation paddock with a general rotation of wheat/barley or wheat/canola. 2012 the paddock was sown with wheat.

**Soil Type**
Heavy black flood plain soil

**Stubble treatments imposed**
5 April 2013

**Sowing**
29 April 2013, 2 kg/ha Gem canola, 50 kg/ha MAP fertiliser into marginal moisture seedbed with good subsoil moisture. Sown with a John Deere disc seeder at 25 cm row spacing.

**Harvest**
Plots were representatively sampled prior to commercial windrowing. Samples were dried and processed at Condobolin Agricultural Research Station.

**Special notes**
Cultivation treatment imposed with light offset discs
Topdressed with Urea 100kg/ha early June

**Results**

**Establishment**

![Seedling establishment vs Stubble treatment](image)

**Yield**

![Yield vs Stubble treatment](image)

**Discussion**

**Establishment**
At Tullamore, which had the lowest average plant establishment across all treatment of 14.7 plants per square metre, there was no significant interaction
with stubble treatments. Low plant population appeared to have been adequate for the season.
At Wirrinya and Rankins Springs, where targeted plant populations were achieved, there were significant but different interactions at each site.

At Wirrinya mulching resulted in the highest establishment which was significantly better than cultivating but not standing or burnt treatments. Cultivation resulted in the lowest plant population but not significantly lower than standing or burnt. No definite reason can be identified for this response beyond a simple suggestion that it was related to the less than ideal seed bed moisture at sowing or surface crusting of the soil following rain soon after emergence.

At Rankins Springs cultivation ranked highest in plant establishment counts but not significantly higher than standing or burnt. Mulching resulted in the lowest plant population but not significantly lower than standing or burnt. This site had good seed bed moisture at sowing and it is proposed that the cultivation treatment allowed the seed to germinate in conditions of good seed soil contact without any impediments from stubble. There was evidence of the treatments with mulched stubble hair pinning during seeding and it is suggested that this is the likely reason for poorer emergence.

Visual differences could be observed across stubble treatments during the trial, refer to photographs 3, 4 and 5. Generally the burnt and cultivated treatments appealed to the eye as being even in colour and ahead in terms of ground cover. As all trials progressed differences became less apparent as the plots matured, although the burnt and cultivated treatments visually appeared to flower earlier with a tighter flowering period.

Timely sowing is a critical driver of profitable canola production and it appears that seasonal issues this year did bring short term agronomic benefits or risks associated with stubble conservation, burning or cultivation into play. These appear to be primarily related to seedbed moisture at sowing with the cultivated treatments and the ability to achieve good seed soil contact with mulched stubble.

Yield
Significant yield interaction between stubble treatments has been observed in crop that was sown on time and achieved a good initial plant population; namely the Wirrinya and Rankins Springs crops.
At Wirrinya the burnt and cultivated treatments with yields of 2.55 t/ha and 2.19 t/ha respectively yielded significantly higher than the mulched (1.68 t/ha) and standing (1.87 t/ha) treatments. LSD 0.42t/ha.
At Rankins Springs the burnt and cultivated treatments with yields of 1.23 t/ha and 1.20 t/ha respectively yielded significantly higher than the mulched (1.02 t/ha) and standing (1.02 t/ha) treatments. LSD 0.16t/ha.

Three obvious questions arise on the practical application of these measurements;
Is the observed response repeatable over a number of years or is it just related to the 2013 season and in particular the dry spring conditions?
It is planned to repeat these trials again in 2014 and 2015.
What can be done in the standing stubble treatment to lift the yield to be equivalent to the burning or cultivation treatments? It is anticipated if these effects are repeated in future years that CWFS will develop research plans with other organisations in the broader GRDC Southern region stubble initiative to investigate opportunities.

What are the costs and risks associated with using strategic burning and cultivation in standing stubble treatments to lift canola yields?

**Burning:**
Based on discussions with producers a reasonable cash cost for burning appears to be about $4/ha. Significant management time is involved in planning any burning and needs to consider business liability, compliance with regulation issues and work place health and safety issues.

Loss of nutrient by late burning wheat stubbles at Condobolin is presented in table 1 as reported by Scott et al (2010). The replacement of these depleted nutrients as fertiliser would have a cash cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean kg/ha lost</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>2.8-20.6</td>
<td>0.1-0.5</td>
<td>2.2-8.1</td>
<td>1.3-3.2</td>
<td>0.3-1.2</td>
<td>0.3-0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The amount of nutrients (kg/ha) lost by late burning wheat stubble prior to sowing at Condobolin (2.34 t/ha). (Scott et al 2010)

Fallow efficiencies for the summer following the canola crop established on burnt stubble may be reduced. Stored soil moisture at sowing is a major driver of crop yields in Central West Farming Systems districts (CWFS 2012). Canola crops themselves produce light stubble cover after harvest, and burning old stubble prior to sowing canola will result in relative low ground cover in the fallow following the canola crop. It is generally accepted that the presence of stubble during the summer increases soil moisture at sowing by a combination of improving rainfall infiltration and reducing evaporation. It also minimises wind and water erosion. The seasonal interaction is related to soil type and rainfall distribution in the summer. Further work needs to be done to quantify the potential yield penalty in the subsequent crop due to reduced soil moisture stored during the fallow.

**Cultivation**
Based on discussions with producers, a reasonable cash cost for cultivation appears to be about $25/ha. The savings of input costs and the environmental benefits to the soil such as reduction in wind and water erosion, improved soil water storage and maintenance of soil structure have driven the adoption of conservation tillage practices in Central West Farming Systems districts. The longer term impact of a one off strategic tillage on the farming system is a hot topic of current producer discussion and research. A major short term disadvantage to a cultivation to improve yield potential is that it may result in rapidly drying seed bed conditions at the optimum sowing time. It is well recognised that timely sowing is a critical driver to profitable canola production.
production. Cultivation can also stimulate buried weed seeds to germinate, creating new weed issues on otherwise clean paddocks.
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Photographs of Rankins Springs Site
This site was managed by AgGrow Agronomy and Research.

Photograph 1: Rankins Springs site prior to sowing.

Photograph 2: Rankins Springs 48 days after sowing.
Photograph 3: Standing stubble treatment 48 days after sowing

Photograph 4: Burnt stubble treatment 48 days after sowing

Photograph 5 Cultivated stubble 48 days after sowing